

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,689	CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(2) Hain Swope.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: after-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4.30 PM

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Instant claims are in condition for allowance, except for typographical errors in the instant claims. Examiner informed the attorney that in claim 9, line 4, "30" should be followed by "%"; in claim 10, line 4, "60" should be followed by "%" and in claim 11, line 5, "60" should be followed by "%". Examiner was authorized by attorney to correct the errors by examiner's amendment. Examiner also informed attorney that the first line of the specification will be amended to include the patent number of the parent application NO. 09/624,305. .